1H,1H-Perfluoroalkylation of Enol Silyl Ethers with (1H,1H-Perfluoroalkyl)phenyliodonium Triflates. A New Method for the Preparation of β- and δ-Trifluoromethyl Carbonyl Compounds and Their Higher Perfluoroalkyl Homologues¹⁾

Teruo Uмемото* and Yoshihiko Gотон Sagami Chemical Research Center, Nishi-Ohnuma 4-4-1, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229 (Received April 30, 1987)

Synopsis. The reaction of enol trimethylsilyl ethers of carbonyl compounds with (1H,1H)-perfluoroalkyl)phenyliodonium triflates was promoted successfully by potassium fluoride in dichloromethane at room temperature, giving β -perfluoroalkyl (Rf; CF₃, C₂F₅, i-C₃F₇, n-C₇F₁₅) carbonyl compounds in good yields. An enol silyl ether of an α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compound gave a δ -Rf- α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compound selectively.

Much attention is being denoted to polyfluoroalkyl groups from the viewpoint of the development of new chemicals or materials having characteristic properties, because they have high electronegativity, stability, lipophilicity, strong surface intension- and refractive index-decreasing effects, and so on.²⁾ Among them, trifluoromethyl (CF₃) or 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl (CF₃CH₂) group in particular has been of increasing importance in the development of new medicines or agricultural chemicals owing to its unique effects on the bioactivity.^{2,3)} However, the introduction of the fluoroalkyl groups into the desired sites of the organic molecules was troublesome.⁴⁾

Recently we have developed (1H,1H-perfluoroalkyl)-phenyliodonium triflates 1 (FMITS) as the most reactive reagents for the source of RfCH₂ cations.^{5,6)} In this paper, we described potassium fluoride-promoted 1H,1H-perfluoroalkylation of enol silyl ethers with FMITS under mild conditions, giving β - or δ -CF₃ carbonyl compounds or their higher perfluoroalkyl (Rf) homologues in good yields.⁷⁾

Results and Discussion

We examined the reaction of 1 with α -(trimethyl-siloxy)styrene (2), and the results are shown in Table 1. It was found that 1a smoothly reacted with an equimolar amount of 2 in dichloromethane at room temperature in the presence of a large excess of potassium fluo-

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{OSiMe}_3 \\ \text{Ph} \\ \text{1a}(Rf = CF_3) \\ \text{b}(Rf = C_2F_5) \\ \text{c}(Rf = i - C_3F_7) \\ \text{d}(Rf = n - C_7F_{15}) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{KF} \\ \text{in } CH_2Cl_2, \text{ r.t.} \\ \text{in } CH_2Cl_2, \text{ r.t.} \\ \text{or } CH_2$$

ride (KF) as an additive to give phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropropyl ketone (3a) in a high yield along with an almost quantitative yield of iodobenzene (Run 1). The product 3a was also contaminated with the starting acetophenone, the amount of which increased as the yield of 3a decreased. 3a was easily purified by chromatography on silica gel. When la was treated with 2 without an additive, the yield of 3a was low (Run 10). When using 2,4,6-collidine, N-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)pyridinium triflate was obtained in a 60% yield along with 3a (30%) (Run 9). Sodium bicarbonate as a solid base afforded a trace of 3a (Run 7). Since the treatment of an enol trimethylsilyl ether with methyllithium in tetrahydrofuran (THF) has been known as the effective method for the generation of an enolate anion, 8,9) the results of Run 8 indicated that the direct reaction of la with an enolate anion of acetophenone in THF resulted in the low yield of 3a. One of the causes may be that the enolate anion competitively abstracted a proton from the acidic CH₂ protons of la, since triflates 1 were found to be easily deprotonated with such a base as sodium hydride. 5b) KF is insoluble in dichloromethane and the reaction of la with 2 proceeded slightly without an additive. Moreover, it is reasonable that electron-deficient iodine atom-containing 1 forms a complex with electron-rich double bondcontaining 2. From the above facts and discussion, it may be suggested that solid KF successfully promoted

Table 1. 2,2,2-Trifluoroethylation of 2 with 1a

Run	Additive(equiv)a)	Solv.	Temp	Time/h	Yield/% ^ы ∕ 3a
1	KF(5.2)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	R.t.	1.5	87
2	KF(2)	CH_2Cl_2	R.t.	3	40
3	$\mathbf{KF}(5.5)$	CHCl ₃	R.t.	4	45
4	KF(5.5)	Et ₂ O	R.t.	4	39
5	KF (5.5)	TĤF	R.t.	5	38
6	CsF(3)	CH_2Cl_2	R.t.	11°)	23
7	$NaHCO_3(1.2)$	CH_2Cl_2	R.t.	5	<7
8c)	MeLi (l)	THF	O °C→R.t.	1	27
9	2.4.6-Collidine(1)	CH_2Cl_2	R.t.	1	30 ^{d)}
10	None	CH_2Cl_2	R.t.	5	16

a) KF used was spray-dried KF. 10 The values in parentheses mean the molar equivalency of the additive to 1a. An equimolar amount of 2 to 1a was used in all the runs. b) Isolated Yields except for Run 6 (GC Yield). c) 1a was added after 2 was allowed to react with MeLi at 0°C in THF for 15 min. d) N-(2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl)pyridinium triflate as another product was obtained in a 60% yield. e) Overnight.

Table 2. 2,2,2-Trifluoroethylation of Enol Silyl Ethers with la

Run ^{a)}	Silyl ether	Product		Yield/% ^{b)}	
1	OSiMe ₃ PhC=CHCH ₃	O PhCCHCH ₃ CH ₂ CF ₃	(4)	55	
2	$ OSiMe_3 PhCH = CHC = CH_2 $	O PhCH=CHCCH ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃	(5) ^(·)	75	
3	OSiMe ₃ PhC=CHCH=CH ₂	O PhCCH=CHCH ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃	(6) ^{d)}	42	
	(O PhCCHCH=CH ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃	(7)	0.8	
4	-OSiMe ₃	+CF _a	(8)	53	
5	OSiMe ₃	0 cF3	(9)	49	
6	OSiMe ₃	CF ₃	(10)	71	
7	OSiMe3 $ n-C6H13C=CH2$	$ \begin{array}{c} O\\ n-G_6H_{13}CCH_2CH_2CF_3 \end{array} $	(11)	80	
8	$ OSiMe_3 n-C_6H_{13}CH=COCH_3 $	$_{n-G_6H_{13}CHCOOCH_3}^{CH_2CF_3}$	(12)	76	
9	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{OSiMe}_{3} \\ \text{PhCH} = \text{COCH}_{2}\text{CH}_{3} \end{array} $	CH ₂ CF ₃ PhCHCOOCH ₂ CH ₃	(13)	92	

a) The reaction conditions were the same as for Run 1 in Table 1. b) Isolated Yields except for Run 8 (GC yield). c) Trans-isomer. d) The configuration around the double bond was not determined.

Table 3. 1H,1H-Perfluoroalkylation of Enol Silyl Ethers with 1b—d

Run ^{a)}	Ether	1	Temp	Time/h	Product	Yield/% ^{b)}
1	2	lb	R.t.	4	3b	67
2	2	1b	Reflux	3	3b	87
3	2	lc	R.t.	8	3 c	18
4	2	lc	Reflux	7	3c	32
5	2	1d	R.t.	12	3d	50
6	2	ld	Reflux	10	3d	54
	$OSiMe_3$				O	
7	$n - C_6H_{13}C = CH_2$	1b	R.t.	4	$n - C_6 H_{13} \overset{\circ}{C} C H_2 C H_2 C_2 F_5$ (14)	63
	$OSiMe_3$				O.	
8	$n - C_6H_{13}C = CH_2$	ld	R.t.	8	$n-C_6H_{13}\overset{"}{\text{CCH}}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}_7F_{15}^n$ (15)	45
9	OSiMe ₃	ld	R.t.	7	$\bigcirc C_{r}F_{is}^{n} \qquad (16)$	21

a) In all the runs, 5—5.5 equimolar amounts of spray-dried KF and dichloromethane as a solvent were used.

b) Isolated yields.

the reaction of the complex of 1 with 2 giving 3, by attacking the silyl site of the enol silyl ether moiety of the complex under the conditions of Run 1. The use of cesium fluoride (CsF) which is expected to have better solubility than KF resulted in the low yield of 3a (Run 6). This may be explained by the high reactivity of CsF, compared to KF, which can disturb the triple-molecular reaction.

This method was successfully applied to the 2,2,2-trifluoroethylation of a variety of carbonyl compounds (Table 2). An enol trimethylsilyl ether of an α,β -unsaturated ketone underwent selective γ -trifluoroethylation (Run 3). Only a trace of the α -trifluoroethylated product was obtained. Similarly, keten trimethylsilyl acetals derived from esters gave α -CF₃CH₂ esters in good yields.

We also examined the reaction of 1 having a long chain of Rf group with the enol silyl ethers in the

presence of KF (Table 3). Since the reactivity decreased as the carbon number of Rf group increased, the high reaction temperature or long reaction time was needed.

Since a variety of the enol silyl ethers can easily be prepared by the advanced synthetic methods,⁹⁾ our technique with FMITS reagents is expected to be widely utilized for the preparation of the β - and δ -Rf carbonyl compounds.

Experimental

General. Melting points were uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded with a Varian XL-100 NMR spectrometer, a Varian EM 390 NMR spectrometer, or a Bruker AM-400 NMR spectrometer with chloroform-d as a solvent and tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. ¹⁹F NMR spectra were measured with a Varian XL-100 NMR spectrometer or a Hitachi R-20 B NMR spectrometer, and chloroform-d as a solvent. ¹⁹F NMR chemical shifts were

reported in ppm upfield from trichlorofluoromethane as an internal standard. IR spectra were measured on a Jasco A-202 diffraction grating infrared spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Hitachi RMU-6MG spectrometer at 70 eV and $100\,^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Materials. FMITS reagents were prepared according to the method reported previously.⁵⁾ The enol silyl ethers were prepared by the usual methods.⁹⁾ Spray-dried KF¹⁰⁾ commercially available from Morita Kagaku Company, Japan, was dried under vacuum at 140 °C for 11 h before use.

1H,1H-Perfluoroalkylation of Enol Silyl Ethers with 1. General Procedure; 1 (1 mmol) was added into a mixture of an enol silyl ether (1 mmol) and spray-dried KF (5-5.5 mmol) in 3 ml of dry dichloromethane under argon atmosphere and the mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature. After the filtration through the short column of silica gel, the resulting residue was purified by thin-layer chromatography on silica gel to give the Rf carbonyl compounds. 3a: Mp 59-60 °C; ${}^{1}H$ NMR δ =2.20-2.80 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.10—3.35 (2H, m, CH₂), 7.30—7.70 (3H, m, m,p-PhH), 7.83—8.10 (2H, m, o-PhH); ¹⁹F NMR 65.3 (t, *J*=11 Hz, CF₃); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 1690 (CO); MS 202 (M⁺). Found: C, 59.46; H, 4.46%. Calcd for C₁₀H₉F₃O: C, 59.41; H, 4.49%. **3b**: Mp 67—68 °C; ¹H NMR δ =2.20—2.90 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.13— 3.43 (2H, m, CH₂), 7.30—7.76 (3H, m, m,p-PhH), 7.83—8.13 (2H, m, o-PhH); ¹⁹F NMR 85.9 (3F, s, CF₃), 117.0 (2F, t, $J=16.9 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CF}_2$; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 1690 (CO); MS 252 (M⁺). Found: C, 52.12; H, 3.63%. Calcd for C₁₁H₉F₅O: C, 52.39; H, 3.60%. **3c**: Mp 28–29 °C; ¹H NMR δ =2.33–2.87 (2H, m, CH_2), 3.13—3.43 (2H, m, CH_2), 7.31—7.71 (3H, m, m,p-PhH), 7.80—8.10 (2H, m, o-PhH); ¹⁹F NMR 76.5 (6F, d, J=7 Hz, CF₃), 184.1 (1F, m, CF); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 1690 (CO); MS $302 \, (M^+)$. Found: C, 47.59; H, 3.02%. Calcd for $C_{12}H_9F_7O$: C, 47.69; H, 3.00%. **3d**: Mp 80—81 °C; ¹H NMR δ =2.20—2.90 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.10—3.43 (2H, m, CH₂), 7.30—7.68 (3H, m, m,p-PhH), 7.80—8.13 (2H, m, o-PhH); ¹⁹F NMR 81.5 (3F, t, $J=10 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CF}_3$), 114.6 (2F, m, CF₂), 122.4 (4F, m, CF₂), 123.3 (2F, m, CF₂), 123.8 (2F, m, CF₂), 126.7 (2F, m, CF₂); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 1690 (CO); MS 502 (M⁺). Found: C, 38.03; H, 1.84%. Calcd for C₁₆H₉F₁₅O: C, 38.26; H, 1.81%. 4: Oil; ¹H NMR δ =1.30 (3H, d, J=6 Hz, CH₃), 1.90—3.20 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.60-4.00 (1H, m, CH), 7.30-7.70 (3H, m, m,p-PhH), 7.85—8.10 (2H, m, o-PhH); 19 F NMR 64.5 (t, J=11 Hz, CF₃); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1690 (CO); MS 216 (M⁺). Found: C, 61.27; H, 5.17%. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{11}F_3O$: C, 61.11; H, 5.13%. **5**: Bp 140 °C (bath temperature)/400 Pa, mp 48—49 °C; ¹H NMR δ =2.20— 2.78 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.80—3.10 (2H, m, CH₂), 6.73 (1H, d, J=16.5 Hz, olefin proton), 7.30-7.73 (6H, m, PhH and olefin proton); ¹⁹F NMR 66.8 (t, J=10 Hz, CF₃); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 1700 (CO); MS 228 (M⁺). Found: C,62.86; H, 4.80%. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₁F₃O: C, 63.15; H, 4.86%. **6**: Mp 37 °C; ¹H NMR δ =2.20-2.80 (4H, m, CH₂), 6.80-7.03 (2H, m, olefin protons), 7.25—7.65 (3H, m, m,p-PhH), 7.80—8.05 (2H, m, o-PhH); ¹⁹F NMR 66.0 (t, J=10 Hz, CF₃); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1675 (CO); MS 228 (M⁺). Found: C, 62.91; H, 4.47%. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{11}F_3O$: C, 63.15; H, 4.86%. 7: Oil; ¹H NMR δ =2.20— 3.10 (2H, m, CH₂), 4.20—4.55 (1H, m, CH), 5.10—5.40 (2H, m, =CH₂), 5.60—6.10 (1H, m, olefin proton), 7.35—7.70 (3H, m, m,p-PhH), 7.83—8.06 (2H, m, o-PhH); ¹⁹F NMR 64.0 (t, $J=11 \text{ Hz}, \text{CF}_3$); MS 228 (M⁺). **8**: Oil; ¹H NMR $\delta=0.90$ (9H, s, t-Bu), 1.20—3.00 (9H, m); 19 F NMR 64.1 (t-d, J=10, 1.4 Hz, CF_3); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1720 (CO); MS 236 (M⁺). Found: C, 60.74; H, 7.82%. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{19}F_3O$: C, 61.00; H, 8.11%. **9**: Oil; ¹H NMR δ =1.00-2.35 (10H, m), 2.35-3.16 (3H, m); ¹⁹F NMR 64.1 (t, J=10 Hz, CF₃); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1710 (CO); MS 194 (M⁺). Found: C, 55.46; H, 6.74%. Calcd for $C_9H_{13}F_3O$: C, 55.66; H, 6.75%. **10**: Oil; ¹H NMR δ =1.30— 3.20 (m); 19 F NMR 64.9 (t, J=10 Hz, CF3); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1715 (CO); MS 208 (M⁺). Found: C, 57.89; H, 7.03%. Calcd

for C₁₀H₁₅F₃O: C, 57.68; H, 7.26%. 11: Oil; ¹H NMR $\delta = 0.78 - 1.06$ (3H, m, CH₃), 1.13 - 1.83 (8H, m, CH₂), 2.23 -2.80 (6H, m, CH₂); ¹⁹F NMR 66.8 (t, *J*=9.9 Hz, CF₃); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1725 (CO); MS 210 (M⁺). Found: C, 57.11; H, 8.14%. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₇F₃O: C, 57.13; H, 8.15%. **12**: Oil; ¹H NMR $\delta = 0.75 - 1.00$ (3H, m, CH₃), 1.10-1.80 (10H, m, CH₂), 1.95—2.80 (3H, m, CH₂CH), 3.70 (3H, s, OCH₃); ¹⁹F NMR 65.3 (t, J=10 Hz, CF₃); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1740 (CO); MS 240 (M^+) . Found: C, 55.24; H, 7.83%. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{19}F_3O_2$: C, 54.99; H, 7.94%. 13: Bp 78 °C/400 Pa; ¹H NMR $\delta = 1.20$ (3H, t, $I=6.6 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_3$), 2.10—3.50 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.80—4.00 (1H, m, CH), 4.16 (2H, q-d, *J*=6.6, 2.4 Hz, OCH₂), 7.33 (5H, s, Ph); ¹⁹F NMR 65.3 (t, J=11 Hz, CF₃); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1740 (CO); MS 246 (M⁺). Found: C, 58.23; H, 5.28%. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{13}F_3O_2$: C, 58.53; H, 5.02%. **14**: Oil; ¹H NMR δ =0.78— 1.03 (3H, m, CH₃), 1.13—1.80 (8H, m, CH₂), 2.00—2.83 (6H, m, CH_2); ¹⁹F NMR 85.9 (3F, s, CF_3), 117.8 (2F, t, J=17 Hz, CF_2); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1720 (CO); MS 260 (M⁺). Found: C, 50.76; 6.64%. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{17}F_3O$: C, 50.77; H, 6.58%. **15**: Mp 43-44 °C; ¹H NMR δ =0.80-1.00 (3H, m, CH₃), 1.13-1.81 (8H, m, CH₂), 2.20—2.88 (6H, m, CH₂); ¹⁹F NMR 81.0 $(3F, t, J=10 \text{ Hz}, CF_3), 113.6 (2F, m, CF_2), 121.5 (6F, m, CF_2),$ 123.0 (2F, m, CF₂), 125.6 (2F, m, CF₂); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 1720 (CO); MS 510 (M⁺). Found: C, 37.60; H, 3.40%. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{17}F_{15}O$: C, 37.66; H, 3.36%. **16**: Oil; ¹H NMR δ =1.30— 3.30 (m); ¹⁹F NMR 81.2 (3F, m, CF₃), 111.5 (1F, A part of AB system, J=283 Hz, CF₂), 114.8 (1F, B part of AB system, *I*=283 Hz, CF₂), 122.4 (4F, m, CF₂), 123.2 (2F, m, CF₂), 124.0 (2F, m, CF₂), 126.6 (2F, m, CF₂); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1720 (CO); MS 480 (M⁺). Found: C, 35.07; H, 2.37%. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{11}F_{15}O: C, 35.01; H, 2.31%.$

References

- 1) Presented at 52th National Meeting of Japanese Chemical Society, Abstract II, p. 1588 (1Z03), Apr. 1, 1986 (Kyoto).
- 2) N. Ishikawa and Y. Kobayashi, "Fusso no Kagōbutsu—sono Kagaku to Ōyō," Kodansha, Tokyo (1979); "Biomedicinal Aspects of Fluorine Chemistry," ed by R. Filler and Y. Kobayashi, Kodansha Ltd., Tokyo (1982); R & D Report No. 6, "Fusso Kagōbutsu no Saisentan Ōyō Gijutsu," ed by N. Ishikawa, CMC, Tokyo (1981); "Preparation, Properties, and Industrial Applications of Organofluorine Compounds," ed by R. E. Banks, Ellis Horwood Ltd., 1982 (Chichester).
 - 3) Chem. Eng. News, Sept. 10, pp. 32-33 (1984).
- 4) "Fusso Kagōbutsu no Gōsei to Kinō," ed by N. Ishikawa, CMC, Tokyo (1987).
- 5) a) T. Umemoto and Y. Gotoh, J. Fluorine Chem., 28, 235 (1985). b) Idem, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 60, 3307 (1987).
- 6) T. Umemoto and Y. Gotoh, J. Fluorine Chem., 31, 231 (1986).
- 7) It was described in the patent [R. L. Hansen, U.S. Patent 3,419,595 (1968)] that the reaction of enamines with 1H,1H-perfluoroalkyl (RfCH₂) triflates followed by acid hydrolysis gave β -Rf carbonyl compounds, but neither yields nor spectral data of the products were reported.
- 8) H. O. House, D. S. Crumrine, A. Y. Teranishi, and H. D. Olmstead, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 3310 (1973).
- 9) H. O. House, L. J. Czuba, M. Gall, and H. D. Olmstead, J. Org. Chem., 34, 2324 (1969); G. H. Posner, J. J. Sterling, C. E. Whitten, G. M. Lentz, and D. J. Brunelle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 107 (1975); E. Nakamura, E. Murofushi, M. Shimizu, I. Kuwajima, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 2346 (1976); G. Simchen and W. Kober, Synthesis, 1976, 259 and references cited therein.
- 10) T. Kitazume and N. Ishikawa, Chem. Lett., 1981, 1259.